Everywhere and at All Times: Mobility, Consumer Decision-Making, and Choice

12 authors, including:

Nicholas H Lurie
University of Connecticut
32 PUBLICATIONS   **1,766** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Jonah arkin Berger
University of Pennsylvania
41 PUBLICATIONS   **2,124** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Beibei li
Carnegie Mellon University
27 PUBLICATIONS   **322** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Charlotte H Mason
University of Georgia
28 PUBLICATIONS   **4,235** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

The ISMS durable goods data sets   View project

Impact of wearable technologies on patient healthcare   View project

Contribution Statement

We add to empirical research on mobile marketing by developing a conceptual framework that identifies how characteristics of mobile ecosystems and mobile contexts are likely to affect consumer behavior. Drawing on research in psychology, marketing, and information systems, we identify theoretical antecedents and psychological mechanisms that should affect mobile consumer information search, consideration set formation, and choice. Based on this perspective, we identify important research topics as well as opportunities and challenges for modeling mobile consumer decision-making.

Abstract

Advancements in mobile technologies mean that consumers can engage the digital world wherever they are and whenever they want. This intersection between the digital and the physical has important implications for consumer decision-making. We propose that mobile ecosystems vary in their capabilities and pervasivity (i.e., the degree to which a mobile ecosystem is accessible everywhere and at all times). Further, we propose that accounting for distinguishing aspects of mobile ecosystems, the context in which mobile ecosystems are used, and interactions between mobile ecosystems and mobile contexts are critical in advancing theoretical and substantive understanding of the role of mobile technologies in the marketplace. Based on this perspective, we identify important research topics as well as opportunities and challenges for modeling mobile consumer decision-making.

Keywords: Decision Making, Choice Behavior, Digital Marketing, Mobile Marketing, Electronic Commerce.

Dramatic improvements in mobile technologies allow consumers to search for information, make choices, and consume products and services wherever they are and whenever they want. Increased mobility is not simply untethered computing; it means bringing new information sources, marketing communication, data processing, and recommendation agents into diverse offline contexts where it augments, and sometimes competes with, traditional ways in which consumers gather information and make choices. In other words, mobility facilitates interactions between digital and physical worlds over time, and these interactions raise new research questions and challenges.

Despite calls for mobile consumer research [1], extant research offers limited insights into how mobility changes the psychological underpinnings of consumer cognitions, decision-making, and choice. Mobile has generally been described in binary and static terms, in which today's smartphones are contrasted with fixed desktops rather than accounting for the array of evolving hardware, software and connectivity options with and through which consumers interact. Recent research on "mobile" or the "mobile internet" uses these terms to refer to marketing and marketplace behavior via smartphones [e.g., 2,3,4]. However, smartphones are merely one way by which mobile ecosystems, by connecting consumers to online resources everywhere and at all times, impact consumer behavior. As Lamberton and Stephen [5] point out, mobile media along with social and other digital media offer new ways for firms and consumers to interact with each other. In a similar vein, Novak and Hoffman [6] utilize assemblage theory to conceptualize mobile apps as only one element of the Internet of Things (IOT), arguing that IOT assemblages of consumers, information and devices create new and unique emergent capacities. Grewal et al. [7] take a more focused approach, describing several broad research areas in the domain of mobile

advertising, organized around environmental context, advertising goals and metrics. More specifically, Bart et al. [8] demonstrate that category characteristics such as involvement and utilitarian benefits can impact the effectiveness of mobile display advertising. Several related papers [9,10,2] utilize field experiments to measure the impact of flexible targeted mobile promotions using contextual dimensions such as crowdedness, competitive locational targeting (geoconquesting) and spatio-temporal attributes.

In contrast to such research, we propose that mobile be thought of as a continuum in which mobile ecosystems vary in terms of informational *capabilities* (i.e., the ability to transmit, process, and receive information) and *pervasivity* (i.e., the degree to which a mobile ecosystem is accessible everywhere and at all times). By focusing on mobile affordances, rather than particular technologies, we seek to develop a perspective that will remain relevant in the face of technological change. We treat mobility as an ecosystem whose combined parts (e.g., hardware, software, network, and cloud-based computing) determine capabilities and pervasivity. Further, we propose that accounting for distinguishing aspects of mobile ecosystems, the contexts in which mobile ecosystems are used, and interactions between mobile ecosystems and mobile contexts are critical in advancing theoretical and substantive understanding of the role of mobility in the marketplace.

We develop a conceptual model that identifies how mobile ecosystems are likely to impact consumer information search, decision making, and consumption. Our framework is purposely not tied to any particular technology or ecosystem in order to increase its relevance for future, and currently unknown, technologies. In addition to limiting our scope to consumptive activities, we focus on those aspects that are particular to the mobile experience rather than aspects, such as presence

and telepresence, that are important to communicative technologies more generally.

Out of the conceptual model stems several broad research topics. We provide illustrative examples of how these can be turned into testable research predictions. Our hope is that this broad, and admittedly non-exhaustive, approach will help researchers gain new insights into mobile consumer behavior.

Mobile Decision Making and Choice

Two aspects are likely to directly and interactively affect mobile decision-making and choice. The first involves the capabilities and pervasivity [i.e., affordances; 11] of the mobile ecosystem. Capabilities refer to the range of ways in which a mobile ecosystem can be used to perform consumer tasks. Pervasivity refers to the extent to which consumers can use a mobile ecosystem to perform these tasks anywhere and at any time. The second is the mobile context, which often involves information-rich settings—including out-of-doors and in-store environments, physical movement by the consumer who may be walking or driving, and potentially limited cognitive resources due to competition from other attentional tasks. Importantly, the mobile context should play an important role of moderating the relationship between the mobile ecosystem and decision processes. Decision processes, in turn, should mediate the relationship between the mobile ecosystem, the mobile context, and their interaction, to affect choice outcomes—including offline purchases—and decision satisfaction. When consumers repeatedly use mobile ecosystems in particular contexts (e.g., walking outside, on the train, near the refrigerator), they may develop associations with concepts that may remain salient even when these contexts are withdrawn. We

refer to these associations as a mobile mindset. Figure 1 outlines the proposed

conceptual model and associated research topics, which we expand on below.

Figure 1. Conceptual Model

```
┌─────────────────────────┐
│ Mobile Ecosystem        │
│                         │
│  Capabilities           │
│    • Transmission       │
│    • Reception          │           ┌──────────────────────────────┐
│    • Processing         │──────────▶│ Mobile Mindset               │
│  Pervasivity            │           │   • Action orientation       │
│    • Portability        │           │   • Urgency                  │
│    • Operability        │           │   • Present focus            │
│                         │           │   • "Unlimited" information  │
└─────────────────────────┘           │   • Self vs. other focus     │
                                      └──────────────────────────────┘
                                                    │
┌─────────────────────────┐                        ▼
│                         │           ┌──────────────────────────────┐
│ Mobile Context          │           │ Outcomes                     │
│   • Physical environment│           │   • Information search        │
│   • Motion and mobility │           │   • Trust                     │
│   • Cognitive resources │           │   • Confidence                │
└─────────────────────────┘           │   • Personal and embarrassing choices │
                                      │   • Consideration             │
                                      │   • Informational influence   │
                                      │   • Information overload       │
                                      │   • Action orientation        │
                                      │   • Decision urgency          │
                                      │   • Present focus             │
                                      │   • Self focus                │
                                      └──────────────────────────────┘
```

For the sake of brevity, we limit our discussion of other factors, such as

differences in consumer capabilities or other individual state and trait differences,

which could contribute to the effects of the mobile ecosystem on decision

processes and choices outcomes.

Mobile Ecosystems

Mobile ecosystems describe a set of Internet-enabled (online)

communication and information technologies that aid human cognition and

behavior untethered to a specific physical location. These include physical hardware (devices), programmed procedures that provide functional capabilities (software), coupled with cloud-based computing and data resources that enhance their capabilities. Consumer differences in ecosystem components, such as self-contained applications ("apps") and individual hardware and cloud resources, lead to heterogeneity in mobile capabilities. Mobile ecosystems include those for which the hardware is tethered or typically fixed in space, but allow a person to use them while walking around (e.g., home automation devices such as Amazon's Echo or the Sonos sound system). Mobile ecosystems depend on the current state of information technologies as well as marketer and intermediary decisions about developing and deploying these technologies.

While smart phones are likely the prototype that comes to mind when talking about mobility, an exploding array of mobile technologies expand the scope of mobile computing. These include activity trackers like the Fitbit, smart watches, wearable technologies such as (the now defunct) Google Glass, smart cars, and so on. To sum, our conception of mobile ecosystems includes the diversity of current and future tools that can be used or accessed while the consumer is in a state of motion.

To examine the impact of mobility on consumer decision-making and choice, we propose a framework that highlights two important dimensions of mobile systems: *capabilities* and *pervasivity*. While not exhaustive, they help elucidate important research questions about how mobility affects consumer decision making and choice behavior. We believe the two dimensions are independent in that the information transmission and processing capabilities of mobile ecosystems are independent of their ability to be used anywhere and at all times. Figure 2 offers examples of devices plotted on the two proposed

dimensions. For example, smartphones are positioned as the current (2017) prototype given their relatively high performance on both capabilities and pervasivity, while Fitbit is presently high on pervasity but low on capabilities.

Figure 2: Mobile Ecosystem Capabilities and Pervasivity

Capabilities

Mobile capability refers to the ability of a device ecosystem to transmit, receive, and process information. Transmission, reception, and processing combine to affect (tele-) presence [i.e., the extent to which the user feels they are virtually there, 12].

Transmission

Most mobile ecosystems can transmit information via touchscreen, keyboard, and/or voice, as well as video and images. While some ecosystem components may be particularly suited for specific transmission tasks (e.g., laptops with their large keyboards facilitate long-form writing), they may lack informational transmission capabilities due to weakness in capturing diverse forms of data, such as spatial locations or movement information. Input and output device constraints such as traditional (non-touch) keyboard and small screens may inhibit information transmission. Information transmission also describes the extent to which the mobile ecosystem facilitates commercial transactions (e.g., sending secure payment information through Apple Pay).

Information transmission also includes the ability to transmit contextual information in real time. For example, most smartphones can capture and transmit the user's spatial/geographic location, direction of movement, and current audial or visual environment. Other mobile technologies, such as Hexoskin's Smart Shirt, capture biometrics (e.g., heart rate, breathing, sleep position). This captured information can be transmitted to another mobile device or cloud-based resources for processing, or can be transmitted to other consumers.

Reception

As with information transmission, characteristics of mobile ecosystem components can enhance or inhibit information reception. For example, screen size, bandwidth, and support for different information formats (such as JavaScript) may affect the volume and nature of information received on a given mobile technology. However, mobile ecosystems may offer alternative means through which information can be received, such as voice (e.g., Amazon's Alexa) or haptic feedback (e.g., the Apple Watch).

Processing

Mobile ecosystems vary in their processing capability. More powerful mobile technologies such as smartphones receive, transmit and/or process diverse forms of data (e.g. visual/graphical, audial, textual, movement), making them higher on the informational dimension of capabilities.

Pervasivity

While examining pervasivity in the context of mobile seems almost tautological, variation on this dimension is a central factor that impacts consumer behavior. Portability and operability are two dimensions of pervasivity that influence the likelihood that a given mobile ecosystem is employed anywhere and all the time.

Portability

Portability refers to the ease with which a particular mobile ecosystem can accompany the consumer wherever she goes. For example, mobile technology that can be easily carried in one's pocket or purse (a typical smartphone) is more freely and easily moved than one that must be carried by hand or in a container (e.g., an iPad or laptop). Even more mobile are wearable technologies (e.g., Fitbit, Google Glass, Hexoskin Smart Shirt), that do not need to be carried.

Operability

Operability refers to the ability to use a mobile ecosystem in all types of circumstances. For example, Google's CarPlay facilitates mobile interactions while driving and mobile ecosystems vary in their ability to recognize speech in loud environments. Ecosystems that connect to cellular as well as Wi-Fi networks can be used in more places. Mobile devices that can be used unobtrusively are

more likely to be used in settings in which mobile use is not condoned. Mobile devices that work with limited user input are also higher in operability.

Mobile Ecosystem Research Topics

As a mobile ecosystem's information capabilities and pervasivity increase, so does the likelihood that it impacts consumer attitudes and behavior. However, significant opportunities are available for theory development and substantive insight regarding how, when, and why mobile ecosystems intervene in consumer decision-making and whether these interventions have a positive or negative impact on consumer behavior. While differences in mobile ecosystem characteristics can lead to a nearly inexhaustible array of research topics and testable predictions, we introduce a few that show the value of considering capability and pervasivity when conducting research on how mobility affects consumer decision making.

Information Search

Research could examine how mobile ecosystem capabilities and pervasivity impact information search. For example, because many mobile technologies constrain information reception and transmission (i.e., through small screens and keyboards) enhanced access to search resources may be offset by limited search duration [13]. This device constraint may lead to search processes that blur distinctions between deep, systematic, information collection and processing using more shallow, heuristic, methods [14]. Whether and when a mobile ecosystem has a significant impact on search should depend on the ecosystem's information capabilities (e.g. whether and how it receives, processes, and transmits decision-relevant information) and pervasivity (e.g., whether the

ecosystem is available at the right place and time). Assuming consumers often have the goal of making a purchase (vs. not making a purchase), research could examine whether consumers are more likely to access positive reviews when using mobile ecosystems that are more pervasive. Examples of testable predications are that greater information capabilities and pervasivity: a) increase the amount of information accessed but not the time spent on search; b) decrease breadth of search on mobile versus non-mobile platforms; c) decrease time to make decisions and d) increase search for more decision confirming information.

Trust

Research could also examine how differences in capabilities and pervasivity affect the extent to which consumers trust mobile ecosystems. Prior research shows that greater investments in website appearance and usability enhance consumer beliefs about marketer abilities, one dimension of consumer trust [15]. Mobile ecosystems with highly specialized information capabilities may be viewed as more credible because they are highly salient and possibly perceived as more expert in specific decision domains [16]. For example, when driving a smart electric car, a consumer may be more likely to visit a nearby charging station when the station's location pops up as a prompt on the car's screen than a smartphone. When buying running shoes, a specialized device such as a Fitbit may be considered a more reliable source than a smartphone app such as Runkeeper when a consumer wants to estimate their typical running distance. At the same time, variations in the capability of mobile platforms to present vivid and compelling information may moderate these effects [16].

Other research could examine perceived differences in source credibility for mobile ecosystems versus people. For example, when evaluating energy saving improvements to a home, a consumer may need to decide how much to weigh

information from a (novice) friend, an expert credentialed human energy auditor, or information transmitted to a smartphone or tablet from a Nest thermostat [17]. Testable predictions are that information from mobile platforms with more specialized (vs. general) information capabilities will be: a) more trusted; b) more heavily weighted in judgment and choice; and c) more heavily weighted relative to information from human novices. Human experts, however, will be more trusted on all these dimensions than such mobile platforms.

Confidence

Mobile ecosystems offer nearly limitless opportunities to access online information whenever and wherever wanted. Greater information accessibility offers disparate and interesting predictions for judgment and decision-making. On one hand, the mere access to information via mobile ecosystems may provide a false sense of knowledge [18], and consumers might become over-confident in judgment. On the other hand, mobile ecosystems also provide access to more options (compared to shopping in a physical retail location). As a result, people may feel they have more options, feel less confident, and have more decision-making regret [19]. Testable predictions are that greater pervasivity leads to a) overconfidence in choice and b) more decision regret.

Personal Choices

Given the link between pervasivity and the tendency for the user to have the device with them at all times, technologies high in pervasivity should not only facilitate purchases in general, but should enhance purchases of particular product categories more than others. Heightened pervasivity increases contact between the consumer and her mobile technology from morning rituals, to the gym,

13

workplace, family time at home, evenings out, and even while sleeping through the use of biological or movement trackers. The constant physical presence and physical touch aspects of mobile devices lead to strong emotional and psychological connections between mobile devices and users and greater endowment effects for mobile purchases [20-22]. Other research suggests that wearables will be perceived as closer because they serve as symbolic extensions of the self [23].

Greater information capabilities, including storage, increase the amount of personal information associated with a mobile system. That is, mobile devices are interactive diaries of their owners' lives; a place where user thoughts, memories, experiences, and social connections reside. Removing mobile devices from their owners can lead to anxiety, stress, and feelings of abandonment [24,25]. Together, these aspects of mobile ecosystems should make them more personal and private.

If mobile devices are seen as more private, their use may increase the propensity to make socially undesirable purchases. While the Internet-enabled personal computer has been described as enabling otherwise embarrassing shopping behavior [26], of potential interest is whether the perceived privacy of mobile shopping environments persists even in highly public settings (e.g. purchasing sex-related products on a smartphone while in a shopping mall). Testable predictions are that greater pervasivity increases: a) the extent to which mobile devices are perceived as part of the extended self; b) the extent to which mobile devices are used for purchase reflecting one's own (vs. others') preferences; and c) use of the device for purchases of potentially embarrassing products and services in public settings.

Mobile Context

Some of the most interesting research findings on mobile consumer behavior are likely to come from interactions between mobile ecosystems and mobile contexts. Changes in the physical environment, the use of mobile devices while moving, constraints on cognitive resources, and differences in consumer mindsets and goals are likely to be important moderators of the effects of mobile ecosystems on consumer decision making and choice.

Mobile ecosystems also differ from Internet-supported decision-making on fixed devices (desktop computers) and physical decision support information in terms of the contexts in which they are used. Usage of mobile ecosystems can be far less limited by time of day or by consumer location. These differences in usage context should have significant impacts on decision-making.

Physical Environment

Although capabilities and pervasivity determine the extent to which a particular mobile ecosystem facilitates mobile consumer behavior, the benefits and use of these ecosystems depends very much on the context in which they are used. With no time or location restrictions, mobile ecosystems are often used when the consumer is physically and temporally close to a decision-making site (e.g., comparing TVs on a mobile app on the way to a retail store). Further, unlike desktop computers, mobile ecosystems can be used in public spaces such as retail environments, where they can provide online information that supplements and interacts with information available in the physical and social environment. These differences in the mobile usage context should impact consumer information search, consideration, and choice.

Motion

      Mobility entails movement. Mobile devices can be used while traveling to a specific destination, wandering without a purposeful destination, or visiting a place for a limited time before moving on [e.g., standing in front of a product display at a store; 27,28]. Each of these involve (a) changes in context during device use and (b) use in novel contexts. These changing contexts may lead to greater variation in purchase behavior by mobile consumers. For example, Andrews et al. [2] find that increased crowding in a subway (in China) increases response to mobile ads. They also imply that mobile technologies are less likely to be associated with particular places and times than tethered technologies.

Cognitive Resources

      Since mobile ecosystems are often used when en route to a decision-making destination, planning and research are likely to be reduced. Further, increased cognitive load from multitasking (e.g., simultaneously texting, navigating, and driving) means that mobile consumers should have fewer cognitive resources available to devote to information search and decision-making tasks. This may lead to an inability to process stimuli systematically even if engagement is high. Limited planning, and limited ability to deeply process information, means that consumers are less likely to form strong attitudes and preferences even when highly motivated to do so [29,14].

Mobile Context Research Topics

      The interaction between mobile ecosystem characteristics (capabilities and pervasivity) and usage context (physical environment, motion, and cognitive resources) suggests a number of research topics around product consideration, the

relative impact of mobile versus non-mobile information, and the influence of incidental information.

Consideration

Mobile use in physical retail settings, and the combining of digital and real-world information, may lead to consumer behavior that is distinct from that observed in digital or traditional retail environments. For example, research on mobile consumer behavior may challenge dominant information processing paradigms in which consumers retrieve brands from memory and narrow these to form a consideration set [30]. There is potential to explore the possibility of a reverse funnel in search, whereby a consumer who is close to making a product choice expands their consideration set as a result of searching for online information at the point of sale [31]. This suggests the testable prediction that consumers using mobile platforms in retail settings will have larger consideration sets than those shopping at home or those shopping in retail settings without using mobile.

Informational Influence

Another question is how mobile systems compete with the physical world for user attention and how this affects behavior. The direction of these effects is not obvious. For example, although greater pervasivity should increase the likelihood that a mobile ecosystem is used as an information source [i.e., it is more accessible, 32], to the extent that pervasivity is driven by small hardware formats, mobile information may be less immersive and less transportive [33,34]. This leads to competing predictions. For example, one might argue that the user of a small-screen smartphone is more likely to be influenced by information in the physical environment than the user of a larger-screen tablet. This prediction may

be stated as, when mobile consumers are shopping in in-store environments:
a) Greater pervasivity increases the relative weight of online versus in-store information in judgment and choice; b) this effect increases with information capability. Alternatively, one might argue that the tablet's lower pervasivity but higher capabilities enhance its decision-making influence since it tends to be more noticeable and salient than a smartphone that may be forgotten once it is out of sight. This alternative prediction may be stated as: a) greater pervasivity reduces the relative weight of online versus in-store information in judgment and choice; and b) this effect reduces with information capability.

Information Overload

To the extent that consumers who are in motion have fewer cognitive resources to bring to decision making tasks, they may be more influenced by incidental information—such as an in-store coupon—or may rely more heavily on heuristics (such as picking default options) when making decisions [35]. Relatedly, if mobile technologies are used in environments with competing informational inputs, such as in-store advertising, consumers may experience information overload, resulting in suboptimal decisions and failure to choose [19,36]. Testable predictions are that greater motion: a) increases the influence of price cues; b) increases the use of heuristics in choice; and c) reduces decision quality and the likelihood of making a choice at all.

Mobile Mindset

Beyond mobile ecosystem characteristics and their interaction with usage context, mobile technologies may also affect decision-making via *learned associations.* Learned associations are concepts consumers associate with mobile

due to repeated use of mobile ecosystems in particular contexts (e.g., while walking, on the train, near the refrigerator) that may be salient even when consumers are away from these contexts. Using or seeing a mobile device may trigger these associations, leading consumers to reenact mobile behaviors in new contexts.

More generally, mobile device usage may lead to the development of a *mobile mindset*. One way a mobile mindset may be engaged is through the presence of a mobile device. For example, research shows that having one's cellphone in the same room, even if it is not being used, reduces working memory and fluid intelligence [37]. In other words, mobile technologies may prime a mindset that affects decision-making processes and outcomes. Another way a mobile mindset may work is to change the way consumers act in non-mobile contexts. For example, frequent use of a mobile device to look for information or messages may reduce the ability to pay attention and not get distracted in non-mobile contexts. This implies that greater mobile use will reduce the consumer's ability to process complex media messages. Some suggest that frequent mobile users are less skilled at in-person social interactions [38]. This might mean that greater mobile use leads consumers to eschew help from sales representative in retail settings even in situations where such help may be superior to that available through a mobile device. Similarly, the ability to use mobile technology to satisfy wants and needs all the time may lead consumers to have similar expectations in other contexts.

Mobile Mindset Research Topics

Action Orientation

The consumer's physiological state—doing versus thinking—is another contextual variable that accompanies mobile usage. This contextual distinction offers interesting predictions for decision-making. If consumers use mobile ecosystems while physically and/or temporally progressing towards a goal, they may be more contextually focused on doing than planning. Mobile use in such action-oriented contexts could lead to a preference for more accessible, default choices (i.e., more heuristic decision-making) over careful purchase consideration. A state of physical motion may also impact the perception of time's passage [39], leading to greater temporal discounting [40], or higher willingness to pay for convenience and/or products that are temporally favorable (e.g., a preference for faster but more expensive transportation). Testable predictions are that increased use of mobile devices is associated with: a) greater impulsivity in decision making; b) greater tendency to select default choices; c) greater temporal discounting; d) stronger preference for feasible versus desirable choice alternatives; and e) lower price versus time sensitivity.

Decision Urgency

One consequence of frequent use of mobile systems in motion, or en route to an activity or destination, is that people may associate mobile with a feeling of being rushed. If so, consumers might be motivated or primed to behave with a sense of urgency when completing tasks (e.g., make a purchase) and seek decision-confirming information (e.g., reasons to buy a product) rather than decision-disconfirming information (e.g., reasons not to buy a product) which would slow down completion of the purchase task. Testable predictions are that

increased use of mobile devices is associated with: a) faster decision making and

b) greater search for decision confirming (e.g., positive) information.

Temporal Focus

In addition to associating mobility with urgency, consumers may associate

mobile with the present (rather than the future). This is because mobile

ecosystems are often used for real-time problem solving (i.e., addressing

unplanned issues that require quick decision-making). Thus, mobile decision-

makers may be more guided by myopic desires than long-term goals. This

suggests that items attractive on hedonic attributes (which appeal to the present,

such as a chocolate bar) might be favored over products attractive on more

utilitarian attributes [which appeal to the future, such as a granola bar, 41].

Testable predictions are that increased use of mobile devices is associated with a)

greater focus on short-term versus long-term goals and b) increased choice of

hedonic (vs. utilitarian) products.

Self Focus

Over time, mobile use may affect the extent to which consumers think

about their own needs and wants versus those of others. On one hand, mobile

ecosystems are often used in social exchange (for example, two friends might

share fishing results using Casio smart watches) and so one might associate

mobile with other people. On the other hand, because mobile devices are with

their owners constantly and may be seen as extensions of the self [23], mobile

might activate thoughts of the self (instead of others). Whether mobile use

activates thoughts of the self versus others has implications for decisions that

affect others such as the purchase of a family car. If mobile leads to a focus on the

self, then consumers may act more selfishly, prioritizing individual preferences

over those of others. A focus on the self might also reduce pro-social or helping behaviors. Testable predictions are that increased use of mobile devices is associated with: a) greater influence of others in decision making; b) more self- (vs. other) focused decisions; and c) a reduction in pro-social activities. Table 1 summarizes the research topics and testable predictions.

Table 1: Research Topics and Testable Predictions

Research Topic	Testable Predictions
Capabilities and Pervasivity	
Information search	Greater information capabilities and pervasivity: a) increase the amount of information accessed but not the time spent on search; b) lead to narrower search on mobile versus non-mobile platforms; c) increase the use of mobile devices in consumer search. d) lead to faster decisions; e) increase search for decision confirming information.
Trust	Information from mobile platforms with more specialized information capabilities will be: a) More trusted; b) More heavily weighted in judgment and choice; c) More heavily weighted relative to information from human novices but not experts.
Confidence	Greater pervasivity leads to a) overconfidence in choice; b) more decision regret.
Personal choices	Greater pervasivity increases: a) the extent to which mobile devices are perceived as part of the extended self; b) use of the device for purchases of potentially embarrassing products and services in public settings.
Mobile Context	
Consideration	Consumers using mobile devices as shopping aids in retail settings will have larger consideration sets than consumers shopping at home or those

Research Topic	Testable Predictions
	shopping in retail settings without using mobile devices as shopping aids.
Informational influence	When mobile consumers shop in a retail store: a) greater pervasivity increases the relative weight of online versus in-store information in judgment and choice; b) this effect increases with information capability; a) (alt) greater pervasivity reduces the relative weight of online versus in-store information in judgment and choice; b) (alt) this effect reduces with information capability.
Information overload	Greater motion: a) increases the influence of price cues; b) increases the use of heuristics in choice; c) reduces decision quality and the likelihood of making a choice at all.
Mobile Mindset Action orientation	Increased use of mobile devices is associated with: a) greater impulsivity in decision making; b) greater tendency to select default choices; c) greater temporal discounting; d) stronger preference for feasible versus desirable choice alternatives; e) lower price versus time sensitivity.
Decision urgency	Increased use of mobile devices is associated with: a) faster decision making; b) greater search for decision confirming (e.g., positive) information.
Temporal focus	Increased use of mobile devices is associated with: a) greater focus on short-term versus long-term goals; b) increased choice of hedonic (vs. utilitarian) products.
Self Focus	Increased use of mobile devices is associated with: a) greater influence of others in decision making; b) more self- (vs. other) focused decisions; c) a reduction in pro-social activities.

Modeling Mobile Decision Making

We propose a modeling framework that closely matches our conceptual model (Figure 1). The dependent variables are consumers' choice outcomes on mobile ecosystems. These choices may be driven by the interaction of ecosystem characteristics (e.g., hardware, software, cloud-based computing) and mobile use contexts, and mediated by consumer decision processes.

Consumers make a wide range of choices when using mobile ecosystems, each of which can be used to address different research topics. For example, a key dependent variable is choice as revealed by purchases using mobile ecosystems with transactional capabilities. Other research topics require modeling pre-purchase search behavior, post-purchase satisfaction, word of mouth, or product return behavior. Channel, platform, and device choice are other dependent variables, as consumers choose between offline and online channels, between mobile and non-mobile platforms, and particular platforms (smartphone, tablet, laptop, desktop, etc.) in ways that account for ecosystem characteristics and decision contexts. This list of dependent variables is far from exhaustive. As mobile technologies and applications evolve, researchers may examine an increasing number of consumer choices, such as use of mobile payments, and use of mobile-based crowdsourcing in physical store environments.

We propose that characteristics of mobile ecosystem capabilities and pervasivity are key independent variables that affect consumer choice. For example, we postulate that devices with low information capabilities may be viewed as more salient and possibly more trusted in specific decisions. We hypothesize that mobile ecosystems with high pervasivity may be perceived as more private, increasing consumer propensity to make socially undesirable purchases. We further propose that consumer choice outcomes depend on the

context in which mobile systems are used. Aspects of the informational environment and cognitive constraints can also be explored as explanatory variables.

An essential input to our modeling framework is accounting for consumer decision processes. These are the behavioral mechanisms behind the decisions that consumers make when using mobile ecosystems and suggest how models should be structured to reflect the underlying decision mechanism. For example, the research topic of temporal focus raises questions about whether mobile consumers pursue myopic desires or long-term goals. While a static model is appropriate if consumers are myopic, accounting for long-term goals may require a dynamic structural model [e.g., 42]. As another example, to account for consumer search behavior and learning from different information sources, a Bayesian learning model can be applied [e.g., 43]. Other decision processes may be related to social influence, switching costs, search costs, and so on. The rich set of mobile behavior mechanisms pose both challenges and opportunities, rendering it a fruitful area for modeling research.

Endogeneity

A central theme in our conceptual framework involves understanding how consumer choice behavior is different on mobile and non-mobile devices, i.e., the effect of mobile platform. However, as pointed out previously, device choice is a decision itself. Unobserved factors affecting product choices are likely to affect platform choice as well, introducing correlations between the error term and the mobile platform as an independent variable. This endogeneity, if unaccounted for, may lead to biased estimates of the effect of the mobile platform on consumer choice behavior. Disentangling the impact of the mobile platform on consumer behavior from factors that affect platform choice is a modeling challenge that

needs to be addressed through appropriate data collection and econometric techniques. For example, through controlled or natural experiments the usage of mobile devices may be assigned exogenously, avoiding endogeneity in platform choice. Alternatively, given suitable data, one can model platform choice jointly with choices made on mobile platforms. Such a framework can account for correlation between error terms explicitly.

Another endogeneity issue arises from firms' strategic decisions that affect the mobile context. In addition to setting up physical stores and designing traditional websites, it is increasingly common for firms to develop mobile websites and mobile apps. Firms determine the attributes of these platforms and promotional activities, which in turn affect the mobile ecosystem and mobile context. Therefore, in trying to understand the impact of firm decisions on consumer choice, it is important to realize that these decisions are endogenously determined by firm insights into factors that affect consumer choice. This type of endogeneity is a variant of the non-random marketing mix problem studied by Manchanda et al. [44] and Luan and Sudhir [45], where firm decisions are made using prior knowledge of the response parameters of the targeted customers. Estimated response parameters that do not correct for such non-random firm mobile decision making will be biased. Again, this endogeneity concern can be alleviated through experimental design or by jointly modeling firm decisions and consumer choices.

Mobile Data Collection

The framework in Figure 1 and research topics derived from the framework pose several data challenges. The latest research on mobile decision making uses a variety of approaches to data collection, including field and natural

experiments, as well as employing retrospective scanner or clickstream data that has been the hallmark of quantitative marketing research for decades. Below we discuss several data challenges to studying mobile consumer behavior.

Accounting for Context

We have suggested that some of the most interesting research questions come from the use of mobile devices out in the real world, including traditional retail environments. Understanding the interactions between mobile ecosystems and the context of mobile choice involves the merging of mobile and physical world data and raises questions about what data to collect and how and who should collect this data. For example, to obtain comparable online and offline browsing data, should retailers make physical stores more digital by collecting data through beacon technology installed in their stores to track consumer trajectories?

A related data challenge involves attribution of mobile choices to specific media or information sources [e.g., 46], not all of which may be mobile-focused. The classic example of this is consumer response to a television ad with a mobile link, but there can be other subtle cross-channel influences. Should retailers and firms collect offline data to supplement the vast quantities of data generated by consumer activities on mobile platforms?

Data Aggregation

Given that that digital data tends to be highly granular and rich, while offline store data tends to be relatively sparse, researchers who wish to combine these data face a number of challenges. What are the optimal techniques to fuse offline and mobile data? Can mobile data be aggregated across space, time, and

contexts without losing relevant information, or should firms, retailers and researchers invest in capturing disaggregate and granular non-mobile data? Can "new-age" consumer panels be set up for this purpose, similar to the Nielsen scanner data panels that have been the staple of retail analytics and research for the last few decades?

Experimentation

As discussed previously, models of mobile consumer choices based on secondary data are often subject to potential endogeneity in consumer's platform choices and in firms' strategic decisions about the mobile context. In addition to econometric techniques, experimentation—controlled or natural—can address endogeneity through random assignment of participants to different platforms or different mobile contexts. Given the ability to target specific mobile consumers, mobile platforms provide an appealing environment for field experimentation.

There is a growing body of research on mobile decision-making using controlled field experiments, especially on consumer responses to mobile promotions [e.g., 9]. A key challenge is that companies must permit researchers unprecedented access to their inner workings to support such research. Researchers who have written on the subject have benefited from working relationships with companies, and hopefully more companies will partner with researchers in the future.

Future Research

Although we have focused on the impact of mobile ecosystems on consumer decision-making, greater mobility is likely to affect many types of consumer behavior. As with decision-making, some of the most interesting of

these involve the ways in which mobile technologies facilitate particular consumer behaviors. Some of these include: memory capturing [47]; identity signaling [48]; brand attitudes and loyalty when product quality is easier to access offline as well as online [49]; goal pursuit with devices that track goal adherence and progress; word of mouth in an environment where thoughts and experiences can be shared instantly [13]; and post-purchase behavior.

Another future research direction is to examine how individual differences moderate mobile consumer decision-making. Modeling approaches to addressing these variables depend on whether consumer heterogeneity is observed or unobserved. In the case of observed heterogeneity, the focus can be on how mobile consumer decision-making differs by observed individual characteristics. For example, although mobile consumers in general may be more influenced by incidental information such as mobile coupons, this influence may vary across consumers with different levels of experience on the retailer's mobile website. Consumers with greater experience may be able to better cope with cognitive constraints and be less influenced by incidental information such as coupons. From a modeling standpoint, this moderating effect can be captured through the interaction between consumer characteristics and the focal independent variable, e.g., the interaction between a given consumer's prior use of the retailer's mobile website and mobile coupon availability. Access to information through mobile apps may also depend on consumer skills at effectively taking advantage of mobile capabilities.

For brevity, we have focused on how mobile technologies are likely to impact the way in which consumers search for and evaluate information and choose products and services. Future research could build on our conceptual framework to examine how mobile platforms affect the creation and sharing of

information by consumers. Further, although we have focused on mobile consumer choice behavior, there are many opportunities to leverage our framework to examine mobile from the perspective of the firm. For example, dynamic promotions are an area of growing interest, yet are constrained by mobile ecosystem capabilities. Andrews et al. [2] describe several examples of dynamic mobile promotions, which account for the geographical location of a mobile consumer. One extreme example is the "Hijack" promotion used in Guatemala, where the initial offer is made when the customer is close to a retail store, and the discount reduces with each passing second the customer does not make a purchase. This, and other examples of targeting consumers with dynamic promotions demonstrate that, although technology enables marketers to potentially target consumers while they are on the go, the optimal crafting of such promotions demands significant information processing capabilities and pervasivity to gain the consumer's attention while out and about. Does such mobile marketing provide value to the consumer in the short term? Do the information capabilities and pervasivity of mobile ecosystems impact customer lifetime value? These are just a few examples of firm-focused research questions that might draw on the proposed conceptual model.

Managerial Implications

Firms make strategic decisions themselves that impact mobile ecosystems, the mobile context, and ultimately facilitate the collection of consumer-level data. They must choose among creating one or more of a mobile website, a mobile app (native app, web app, or hybrid app; branded or third-party), and an m-commerce site. The m-commerce site carries with it many unique firm decisions linked to a consumer's decision process, such as whether to include an on-the-go search

feature, shopping assistant, payment tool, mobile wallet for rewards, mobile ratings and reviews, etc. Firms also must decide whether to engage in mobile advertising. Each of these decisions has an impact on consumers' choices on their mobile devices.

Ultimately, the data best suited to provide researchers with answers about consumer behavior with and through mobile technologies will come from firms who build their own mobile apps. Once a consumer is inside an app, she has been captured by the firm since apps compete for customer attention by providing value to the consumer. Loyalty programs are a frequent component of mobile apps, in part because they create a natural segmentation of consumers. These loyalty/rewards program members are often willing to provide the granular data needed to understand mobile consumer decision making. However, use of these individuals' data comes with the caveat that the more loyal customers who use the app are different from the average consumer. In other words, firm-controlled mobile apps are useful to generate testable insights that can subsequently be assessed against a broader (e.g. non-loyal) consumer sample. As new mobile technologies are developed, they will provide marketers with greater insights into consumer decision-making. It will be important for marketers to gain from these insights in ways that do not undermine consumer willingness to share consumption experiences.

Conclusions

Mobility is changing consumer decision-making and choice. As marketers observe and respond to these changes, it will be important to isolate and examine mobile ecosystem capabilities, pervasivity, and context effects in terms of their

impact on consumer attitudes and behavior. Properly attributing changes in consumer decision making to mobile ecosystems, mobile contexts, and marketer actions is important to advancing both theoretical insight and effective marketing strategy in an increasingly mobile world. We hope our conceptual framework offers a first step towards the development of a more robust examination of mobility in the marketplace.

Bibliography

1. Inman JJ (2012) The elephant not in the room: The need for useful, actionable insights in behavioral research. In: Gürhan-Canli Z, Otnes C, Zhu RJ (eds) NA - advances in consumer research, vol 40. Association for Consumer Research, Duluth, MN, pp 1-4.

2. Andrews M, Goehring J, Hui S, Pancras J, Thornswood L (2016) Mobile promotions: A framework and research priorities. J Interactive Marketing 34 (May):15-24.

3. Ghose A, Goldfarb A, Han SP (2013) How is the mobile Internet different? Search costs and local activities. Inform Systems Res 24 (3):613-631.

4. Wang RJ-H, Malthouse EC, Krishnamurthi L (2015) On the go: How mobile shopping affects customer purchase behavior. J Retailing 91 (2):217-234.

5. Lamberton C, Stephen AT (2016) A thematic exploration of digital, social media, and mobile marketing: Research evolution from 2000 to 2015 and an agenda for future inquiry. J Marketing 80 (6):146-172.

6. Novak TP, Hoffman DL (2016) Visualizing emergent identity of assemblages in the consumer Internet of things: A topological data analysis approach. http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2840962. Accessed May 19, 2017.

7. Grewal D, Bart Y, Spann M, Zubcsek PP (2016) Mobile advertising: A framework and research agenda. J Interactive Marketing 34:3-14.

8. Bart Y, Stephen AT, Sarvary M (2014) Which products are best suited to mobile advertising? A field study of mobile display advertising effects on consumer attitudes and intentions. J Marketing Res 51 (3):270-285.

9. Fong NM, Fang Z, Luo X (2015) Geo-conquesting: Competitive locational targeting of mobile promotions. J Marketing Res 52 (5):726-735.

10. Luo X, Andrews M, Fang Z, Phang CW (2013) Mobile targeting. Management Sci 60 (7):1738-1756.

11. Leonardi PM (2011) When flexible routines meet flexible technologies: Affordance, constraint, and the imbrication of human and material agencies. MIS Quarterly 35 (1):147-167.

12. Suh K-S, Lee YE (2005) The effects of virtual reality on consumer learning: An empirical investigation. Mis Quarterly:673-697.

13. Lurie N, Ransbotham S, Liu H (2014) The characterstics and perceived value of mobile word of mouth. Marketing Science Institute.

14. Kahneman D (2011) Thinking, fast and slow. Macmillan.

15. Schlosser AE, Barnett White T, Lloyd SM (2006) Converting web site visitors into buyers: How web site investment increases consumer trusting beliefs and online purchase intentions. J Marketing 70 (April):133-148.

16. Pornpitakpan C (2004) The persuasiveness of source credibility: A critical review of five decades' evidence. J Applied Social Psych 34 (2):243-281.

17. Biswas D, Biswas A, Das N (2006) The differential effects of celebrity and expert endorsements on consumer risk perceptions. The role of consumer knowledge, perceived congruency, and product technology orientation. J Advertising 35 (2):17-31.

18. Fisher M, Goddu MK, Keil FC (2015) Searching for explanations: How the Internet inflates estimates of internal knowledge. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General 144 (3):674-687.

19. Iyengar SS, Lepper MR (2000) When choice is demotivating: Can one desire too much of a good thing? JPSP 79 (6):995-1006.

20. Brasel SA, Gips J (2014) Tablets, touchscreens, and touchpads: How varying touch interfaces trigger psychological ownership and endowment. J Consumer Psych 24 (2):226-233.

21. Sultan F, Rohm A (2005) The coming era of 'brand in the hand' marketing. MIT Sloan Management Review 47 (1):83-90.

22. Turkle S (2008) Always-on/always-on-you: The tethered self. In: Katz JE (ed) Handbook of mobile communication studies. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, pp 121-137.

23. Belk RW (2013) Extended self in a digital world. J Consumer Res 40 (3):477-500.

24. Friedman SA (2013) Phones, friends, and flu shots: How phone attachment can affect pain perceptions. Cornell University, Ithaca, NY.

25. Sarnato V (2013) New survey finds americans treat mobile devices like their best friends.

26. Koufaris M (2002) Applying the technology acceptance model and flow theory to online consumer behavior. Inform Systems Res 13 (2):205-223.

27. Barnard L, Yi JS, Jacko JA, Sears A (2007) Capturing the effects of context on human performance in mobile computing systems. Personal and Ubiquitous Computing 11 (2):81-96.

28. Shankar V, Inman JJ, Mantrala M, Kelley E, Rizley R (2011) Innovations in shopper marketing: Current insights and future research issues. J Retailing 87 (1):S29-S42.

29. Petty RE, Cacioppo JT (1986) The elaboration likelihood model of persuasion. In: Berkowitz L (ed) Advances in experimental social psychology, vol 19. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.

30. Kardes FR, Kalyanaram G, Chandrashekaran M, Dornoff RJ (1993) Brand retrieval, consideration set composition, consumer choice, and the pioneering advantage. J Consumer Res 20 (1):62-75.

31. Yadav MS, Pavlou PA (2014) Marketing in computer-mediated environments: Research synthesis and new directions. J Marketing 78 (1):20-40.

32. Feldman JM, Lynch JGJ (1988) Self-generated validity and other effects of measurement on belief, attitude, intention, and behavior. Journal of Applied Psychology 73 (3):421-435.

33. Maniar N, Bennett E, Hand S, Allan G (2008) The effect of mobile phone screen size on video based learning. Journal of Software 3 (4):51-61.

34. Escalas JE (2004) Imagine yourself in the product. J Advertising 33 (2):37-48.

35. Kardes FR, Cronley ML, Kellaris JJ, Posavac SS (2004) The role of selective information processing in price-quality inference. J Consumer Res 31 (2):368-374.

36. Lurie NH (2004) Decision making in information-rich environments: The role of information structure. J Consumer Res 30:473-486.

37. Ward AF, Duke K, Gneezy A, Bos MW (2017) Brain drain: The mere presence of one's own smartphone reduces available cognitive capacity. Journal of the Association for Consumer Research 2 (2):140-154.

38. Turkle S (2012) Alone together: Why we expect more from technology and less from each other. Basic Books, New York.

39. Casasanto D, Boroditsky L (2008) Time in the mind: Using space to think about time. Cognition 106 (2):579-593.

40. Soman D, Ainslie G, Frederick S, Li X, Lynch J, Moreau P, Mitchell A, Read D, Sawyer A, Trope Y (2005) The psychology of intertemporal discounting: Why

are distant events valued differently from proximal ones? Marketing Letters 16 (3-4):347-360.

41. Shiv B, Fedorikhin A (1999) Heart and mind in conflict: The interplay of affect and cognition in consumer decision making. J Consumer Res 26 (3):278-292.

42. Aguirregabiria V, Mira P (2010) Dynamic discrete choice structural models: A survey. Journal of Econometrics 156 (1):38-67.

43. Ching AT, Erdem T, Keane MP (2013) Invited paper-learning models: An assessment of progress, challenges, and new developments. Marketing Sci 32 (6):913-938.

44. Manchanda P, Rossi PE, Chintagunta PK (2004) Response modeling with nonrandom marketing-mix variables. J Marketing Res 41 (4):467-478.

45. Luan YJ, Sudhir K (2010) Forecasting marketing-mix responsiveness for new products. J Marketing Res 47 (3):444-457.

46. Danaher PJ, Dagger TS (2013) Comparing the relative effectiveness of advertising channels: A case study of a multimedia blitz campaign. J Marketing Res 50 (4):517-534.

47. Diehl K, Zauberman G, Barasch A (2016) How taking photos increases enjoyment of experiences. JPSP 111 (2):119-140.

48. Berger J, Heath C (2007) Where consumers diverge from others: Identity signaling and product domains. J Consumer Res 34 (2):121-134.

49. Simonson I (2015) Mission (largely) accomplished: What's next for consumer BDT-JDM researchers? Journal of Marketing Behavior 1 (1):9-35.